

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

OWNED AND PUBLISHED BY THE MASSACHUSETTS MEDICAL SOCIETY

HARRY L. GREENE II, M.D.

Buscurine Vice President

ALIAN H. GOROLL, M.D., President

CORINNE BRODERICK

WILLIAM E. CALLAHAN, M.D. JOHN T. HARRINGTON, M.D. DANIEL P. MORIN

THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLICATIONS OF THE MASSACHUSETTS MEDICAL SOCIETY

RONALD A. ARKY, M.D., Chair JACK E. ANSELL, M.D. JANE DESFORGES, M.D. BARBARA J. MCNEIL, M.D.

FRANCIS X. VAN HOUTEN, M.D., Vice Chair ROBERT B. COIT, M.D. ALAN C. HARTFORD, M.D. LEONARD J. MORSE, M.D.

JEROME P. KASSIRER, M.D., Editor-in-Chief MARCIA ANGELL, M.D., Executive Editor EDITORS

ARNOLD S. RELMAN, M.D., Editor-in-Chief Emericas

EDWARD W. CAMPION, M.D. ROBERT STRINGROOK, M.D.

FRANKLIN H. EISTEIN, M.D., PH.D. JOSEPH LOSCAIZO, M.D., PH.D.

GREGORY D. CUREMAN, M.D.

MICHAEL F. GREENE, M.D. ROBERT J. MAYER, M.D. Austriate Editors

ROBERT S. SCHWARTZ, M.D. ROBERT D. UTIGER, M.D.

MARSHALL M. KAPLAN, M.D. MORTON N. SWARTZ, M.D.

NADIA A. ROSENTIAL, Ph.D., Commutant is abstraint Medicine
ROBERT S. SCHWARTS, M.D. Book Revier Billiop
DAVID J. HUNTER, M.B.B.S., SC.D., STHEYEN W. LACAKOS, Ph.D., WALTER WILLETT, M.D., DR.P.H., Sustinical Commutants

STEPHEN E. CINYO, Menager of Editorial Production BONNIE COOPES, Manager of Computer Service PARICIA M. LANY, Manager of Editorial Support Services LOREAINE W. LOVIGIJO, Manager of Manuscript Editing

VOLKER DIEHL, M.D., Cologne MARC HOMMEL, M.D., Grenoble ROBERT MICHELS, M.D., New York WILLIAM SILEN, M.D., Boston BERT VOCELSTEIN, M.D., Baltimore HANS-OLOV ADAMI, M.D., Stockholm PHTER J. BARNES, M.D., London HARVEY R., COLTEN, M.D., Chicago

KAREN H. ANTMAN, M.D., New York
DAYID BLUMENTHAC, M.D., M.P.P., KORKO
ALIAN B. DEGHERSKY, M.D., LOS Angeles
L. DAVID HILLIS, M.D., Dallas
HIROD HAURA, M.D., Kyoro
LEWIS P. ROWLAND, M.D., New York
JF. SOULILOU, M.D., Names
STEVEN E. WEINERKEIK, M.D., Dissoon
RUGHADD P. WEINER, M.D., P.L.D., Roterdam
STEVEN E. WEINERKEIK, M.D., Dissoon
ROTHERINE M. WILLERT, M.D., Durham
CATHERINE M. WILLERT, M.D., Durham **EDITORIAL BOARD**

EDITORIAL OFFICES

Lisa M. Adama, Editorial Production Acistant, Victoria Alexander, Manuscript Editor, Jeffrey Allen, Editorial Production Attistant, Fallowal Logical Control of Production Assistant, Inches Coclet, Editorial Production Lispated Assistant, Fallowal Logical Control of Production Assistant, Inches Coclet, Editorial Assistant, Fallowal Logical Compensation, Inches Colet, Editorial Control, Control Colet, Inches Colet, Editorial Control, Coleta Coleta Coleta, Inches Coleta Coleta, Inches Coleta Coleta, Editorial Logical Control, Editorial Logical Control, Manuscript Editor, Date R. Golden, Assistant Manager of Editorial Sagont Services, Rachel Hant, Returned Assistant, Jenice Receives, Assistant Manager of Editorial Sagont Services, Manuscript Editor, Seephen Mortissey, Assistant Manager of Manuscript Editorial, Sanda, Montroleghi, Editorial Assistant, Sanda Sagont Control Contro

THE formal does not hold itself responsible for statements made by any contributor. Statements or opinions expressed in the formal reflect the views of the author(s) and not the official policy of the Massachuseut shedical Society makes so stated, ALTHOUGH all advertising material is expected to conform to ethical standards, acceptance does not imply endotsement by the formal. MATERIAL printed in the formal is covered by captifight. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form without written permission. FOR information on subscriptions, permissions, reprints, and other services, see the "Business Information for Readers" page preceding the Classified Advertising section.

10 Shattuck St., Boaton, MA 02115-6094 Telephone: (617) 734-9800 FAX: (617) 734-4457 EDITORIAL OFFICES

1440 Main St., Waltham, MA 02154-1600 BUSINESS, SUBSCRIPTION OFFICES FAX: (617) 893-0413

Editorials

IN THE THIRD WORLD THE ETHICS OF CLINICAL RESEARCH

the control group of the study must receive the best known treatment. Investigators are responsible for all subjects encoded in a trial, not just some of them, and the goals of the research are always secondary to the well-being of the participants. Those requirements are exists, a placebo may not be used. Instead, subjects in treatment is it ethical to compare a potential new treatment with a placebo. When effective treatment in this state of equipoise² applies to placebo-controlled trials, as well. Only when there is no known effective of knowingly giving inferior treatment to some participants in the trial. The necessity for investigators to be cally redundant, but the investigators would be guilty other. If there is, not only would the trial be scientifibut there should not be solid evidence one way or the and even expect that the new treatment will be better, is better than the other 1,2 Usually, investigators hope ease is that there be no good reason for thinking one A clinical trial comparing two treatments for a disany medical study, every patient - including those of ciery should never take precedence over considersearch on man [ssc], the interest of science and soresearch involving human subjects.3 It states, "In reed as providing the fundamental guiding principles of made clear in the Declaration of Helsinki of the World a control group, if any - should be assured of the best Health Organization (WHO), which is widely regardations related to the wellbeing of the subject," and "In N essential ethical condition for a randomized

proven diagnostic and therapeutic method." consent, important though it is, is not protection enough, because of the asymmetry in knowledge and that prohibits treating human subjects as means to an end. When that line is crossed, there is very little left vestigators' primary obligation to care for the human argued explicitly that obtaining a rapid, unambiguous substantially. In those circumstances, it is sometimes would probably improve the care of future patients question is extremely important and the answer the study. That is particularly likely when the research subordinate the subjects' welfare to the objectives of subjects of their research is the strong temptation to important, is highly variable in its responsiveness to approval by an institutional review board, though also authority between researchers and their subjects. And welfare for the sake of research goals. Even informed to protect patients from a callous disregard of their researchers may find themselves slipping across a line obligation. With the most altruistic of motives, then, answer to the research question is the primary ethical One reason ethical codes are unequivocal about in-

> ests of researchers. patients' interests when they conflict with the inter-

ment), the research continued even after penicillin bewhich was sponsored by the U.S. Public Health Service and lasted from 1932 to 1972, 412 poor African-Tuskegee Study of Untreated Syphilis.* In that study, study; and that the study was important (a "never-to-be-repeated opportunity," said one physician after penicillin became available). Ethical concern was even treated anyway, so the investigators were merely observing what would have happened if there were no and the study was continued even after highly effective treatment became available. And what were the arguuntil it came to the attention of a reporter and the outfective against syphilis. The study was not terminated came widely available and was known to be highly efwas no very good treatment available at the time the termine the natural history of syphilis. Although there and compared with 204 men free of the disease to de-American men with untreated syphilis were followed stood on its head when it was suggested that not only was the information valuable, but it was especially so ments in favor of the Tuskegee study? That these poor African-American men probably would not have been ceived); they were denied the best known treatment; violations were multiple: rage provoked by front-page stories in the Washington Star and New York Times embarrassed the Nixon study began (heavy metals were the standard treatjects inadvertently received treatment by other doctors population with a very high rate of untreated syphilis. The only lament seemed to be that many of the subfor people like the subjects — an impoverished rural informed consent (indeed, they were deliberately deadministration into calling a halt to it.5 The ethical A textbook example of unethical research is the Subjects did not provide

investigators are simply observing what would hap-pen to the subjects' infants if there were no study. And a placebo-controlled study is the fastest, most the ethics of ongoing trials in the Third World of elsewhere in this issue of the Journal. They discuss and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention to the secretary of Health and Human Services, the directors of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) efficient way to obtain unambiguous information Tuskegee study: Women in the Third World would The justifications are reminiscent of those for the transmission greatly and is now recommended in the ready been clearly shown to cut the rate of vertical trol groups, despite the fact that zidovudine has al except one of the trials employ placebo-treated conman immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection.7 All regimens to prevent the vertical transmission of huthat will be of greatest value in the Third World not receive antiretroviral treatment anyway, so the United States for all HIV-infected pregnant women. Thus, in response to protests from Wolfe and others Some of these issues are raised by Lurie and Wolfe

September 18, 1997

question of the value of the intervention being studied compared to the local standard of care. "8 standard of perinatal care for the HIV-infected pregmost rapid, accurate, and reliable answer to nant women in the sites of the studies does not in-- argued, "It is an unfortunate fact that the current (CDC) — the organizations sponsoring the studies the inclusion of placebo controls clude any HIV prophylactic intervention at all," and "will result in the ble answer to the

culosis. The first was issued in 1990 by the CDC's Advisory Committee for Elimination of Tuberculostatement by the American Thoracic Society and the CDC, in collaboration with the Infectious Diseases tuberculin skin tests receive prophylaxis against tubermendations that HIV infected persons with positive such a study, because of long-standing official recomed States it would probably be impossible to carry out the studies criticized by Lurie and Wolfe. In the United control group, and in some ways it is analogous to port the results of a clinical trial in Uganda of various in HIV-negative persons.12 administration of preventive therapy to these persons is of great importance." However, some believe mendation was reiterated more strongly in a joint for preventive therapy." Three years later, the recomwith HIV infection "should be considered candidates skin tests.9 This study, too, employed a placebo-treatinfected adults, most of whom had positive tuberculin regimens of prophylaxis against tuberculosis in HIVthey were based largely on the success of prophylaxis that these recommendations were premature, identification of persons with dual infection and the Pediatrics. 11 According to this statement, Also in this issue of the Journal, Whalen et al. re-It stated that tuberculin-test-positive persons America and the American Academy of since

about the benefits of prophylaxis would a placebo group be ethically justified. This is not the place to rehave been a placebo group in the first place. therapy should be considered the standard of care." I should not include a placebo group, since preventive Msamanga and Fawzi conclude that "future studies in the editorial of Msamanga and Fawzi elsewhere in existing evidence. Only if there was genuine doubt pends, in my view, entirely on the strength of the prethis issue. 13 Suffice it to say that the case is debatable. view the scientific evidence, some of which is discussed Whether the study by Whalen et al. was ethical de-The difficult question is whether there should

quires control groups to receive the "best" rie and Wolfe, that reasoning is badly flawed.7 cause no prophylaxis is the "local standard of care" work, it should not be argued that it was ethical because it was still uncertain whether prophylaxis would a placebo-controlled trial was ethically justifiable bementioned earlier, the Declaration of Helsinki rein sub-Saharan Africa. For reasons discussed by Lu-Although I believe an argument can be made that

> regulations governing U.S. sponsored research in foreign countries, is as well as joint guidelines for research in the Third World issued by WHO and the plications are profound. Acceptance of this ethical relativism could result in widespread exploitation of responsibility to all the subjects in the trial rior to placebo indicates that they were aware of their ing country. The fact that Whalen et al. offered iso-niazid to the placebo group when it was found supeprotection at least equivalent to that in the sponsor-Sciences, to which require that human subjects receive ing country. Furthermore, it directly contradicts the Department of Health and Human Services' own grams that could not be carried out in the sponsor vulnerable Third World populations for rescarch protreatment, not the local one. The shift in wording be-tween "best" and "local" may be slight, but the im-Council for International Organizations of Medical

The Journal has taken the position that it will not publish reports of unethical research, regardless of their scientific merit. 14.7 After deliberating at length about the study by Whalen at al., the editors concluded that publication was ethically justified, although there remain differences among us. The fact that the enced by pressures specific to a particular study. important to determine whether clinical studies are consistent with preexisting, widely accepted ethical guidelines, such as the Declaration of Helsinki, and Research Subcommittee certainly supported our de-cision but did not allay all our misgivings. It is still subjects gave informed consent and the study was approved by the institutional review board at the University Hospitals of Cleveland and Case Western Rewith federal regulations, since they cannot be influserve University and by the Ugandan National AIDS

Quite apart from the merits of the study by Whalen et al., there is a larger issue. There appears to be a contrary, the safest and most reasonable position is planation, although here again it is often offered as a justification. Sometimes there may be relevant difdiseases and their treatments are very different in the Third World, so that information gained in the insinki as applied to research in the Third World. Why is that? Is it because the "local standard of care" is general retreat from the clear principles enunciated in the Nuremberg Code and the Declaration of Helly to the same treatment that people everywhere are likely to respond similarsumed. Unless there are specific indications to the ferences between populations, but that cannot be asstart from scratch? That, too, seems an unlikely exdustrialized world has no relevance and we have to a self-serving justification after the fact. Is it because different? I don't think so. In my view, that is merely

tenets of clinical trials. According to these, all trials should be randomized, double-blind, and placebosons. One of them may be a slavish adherence to the I think we have to look elsewhere for the real rea-

> plain the NIH's pressure on Marc Lallemant to include a placebo group in his study, as described by Luric and Wolfe. Sometimes iournals are life. That is not true, at least not at this journal. We do not want a scientifically neat study if it is ethically for the problem, because they are thought to dehave both scientific and ethical rigor. many cases it is possible, with a little ingenuity, to flawed, but like Lurie and Wolfe we believe that in mand strict conformity to the standard methods.

research in an increasingly regulated and competitive environment. Research in the Third World looks relregulations at home become more restrictive. Deatively attractive as it becomes better funded and work done as quickly as possible, with a minimum of obstacles. When these considerations prevail, it imperatives. To survive, it is necessary to get the in the Third World that simply could not be done in the countries sponsoring the work. Clinical trials breach. The fact remains that many studies are done as at home, they are still honored partly in the subjects receive at least the same protection abroad spite the existence of codes requiring that human conducted, and sponsoring agencies need to enforce ethical standards, no matter where the research is need to redouble our commitment to the highest after all. Those of us in the research community seems as if we have not come very far from Tuskegee have become a big business, with many of the same those standards, not undercut them. The retreat from ethical principles may also be exby some of the exigencies of doing clinical

MARCIA ANGELL, M.D.

REFERENCES

 Freedman B. Equipoise and the ethics of clinical research. N Engl J Med 1987;317:141-5. Angell M. Patients' preferences in randomized clinical trials. N Engl J Med 1984;330:1385-7.

1967;31:744.3

Declaration of Hebiniki IV, 4 In World Medical Assembly, Hong Kong, S. Declaration of Hebiniki IV, 4 In World Medical Assembly, Hong Kong, S. Declaration of Local insuin origination haven a experimentation. New York: Oxford University Frest, 1992;339-42.

Therapy years after: the lapse of the Taukeagee spatifis study. Hartings 4, Therapy years after: the lapse of the Taukeagee spatifis study. Hartings 4. The J. 1992;22(6):39-40.

Gent Rep. 1992;22(6):39-40.

S. Dei Genelopment of consent requirements in neutral rather in Endon. The Genelopment of consent requirements in neutral rather in the Leader of the Conference of Consent requirements.

6. The development of conson requirements in research ethics. In: Nation Rg, Beachmap TL. A. bissory and theory of informed cousent. New York: Oxford University Press, 1986;151-99.

7. Lunie P, Wolfe SM. Ubethical trials of macroenious to reduce perinaral 7. Lunie P, Wolfe SM. Ubethical trials of macroenious to reduce perinaral 7.

transitistion of the human immunodeliciaty virus in developing counters. N Eng. J Med 1997;37853.6.

8. The conduct of cinetic tricks of susternal-infant transmission of HIV supported by the United States Department of Health and Human Services in developing countries. Washington, D.C.: Department of Health and Human Services, July 1997.

9. Whales CC, Johnson JL, Okwen A, et al. A stral of three regimens to

 The use of preventive therapy for ruberculous infection in the United Suzaa: recommendations of the Advisory Committee for Elimination of Tuberculous, MMWR Mode Moral Whit Rep 1909;39(ER.8):9-12.
 Bass JB I, Fener LS, Hopewell PC, et al. Theament of suberculosis and prevent tuberculosis in Ugandan adults infected w deficiency virus. N Engl J Med 1997;337:801-8. 10. The use of preventive therapy for tuberculous II, Okwera A, et al. A trial of three regimens to Igandan adults infected with the human immuno-

orbereulosis infection in adults and children. Am I Respir Grit Care Med 1994;149:1389-74.

12. De Cook KM, Grinar A, Porter JD. Persentive herapy for subseculosis 12. De Cook KM, Grinar A, Porter JD. Persentive herapy for subseculosis in HTV difficted persons; international recommendations, research, and practice. Lancet 1995;345:833-6.

13. Mannanga GT, Fawar KW, The double burden of HTV infection and subseculosis in sub-Subraru Africa. N Engl J Med 1997;357:849-51.

14. Angell M, Ethical importation: Ethic in memoritorial cellaborative dialical exergets. N Engl J Med 1988;319-1081-3.

Angell M. The Nazi hypothemia experiments and unethical research today. N Engl J Med 1990;322:1462-4.

@1997, Massachusetts Medical Society.

THE DOUBLE BURDEN OF HIV IN SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA INFECTION AND TUBERCULOSIS

crally higher in African studies (30 to 45 percent) reported risk of perinatal transmission of HIV is genyears of age were attributable to HIV infection. The cent of the deaths among men and women 25 to 44 per reported that in rural Uganda more than 80 pertending prenatal-care clinics are infected. A 1994 paters, more than 10 percent of the asymptomatic Saharan Africa is home to about 65 percent of all the world's HIV-infected people. In several urban cenonly 10 percent of the world's population, fection in sub-Saharan Africa. Although it contains living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in-THE World Health Organization (WHO) esti-mated that by June 1996 14 million people were immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) is approximately 10 years in the United States, it is only 4.4 years adults and about 15 to 30 percent of the women scroconversion to the appearance of the acquired than in European and American studies (7 percent). Although the median length of time from to 30

stretched health care systems. The average annual per capita expenditure on health is \$11 for the region, among female sex workers in Nairobi, Kenyalack essential drugs and medical supplies, including cial, emotional, or economic support. HIV infection fection has left many African children without sotients are poor. In fact, over 50 percent of the adult patients admitted to the hospital in Africa are infected be difficult to implement when the majority of pahealth services (excluding prenatal care and other prevention programs) are available — but at a price. Aling privatization of the health care sector, antibiotics, antiseptics, and gloves. With the increas and in several countries it is less than \$4. Many areas has also put additional strains on the already over the fees for those who cannot afford them, these may though mechanisms have been developed vention programs) are available — The death of one or both parents from HIV into waive